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Abstract

Background: Emotional intelligence (EI) plays an important role in medicine and education. It improves the teacher’s behavior and the success of their profession. Teachers are the main pillar in the educational system. They are the moderators through which the knowledge can be transferred to the students who represent the foundation of the society. Objective: To estimate the level of EI among staff at the faculty of medicine at Ain Shams University, to determine socio-demographic and work-related variables that might affect EI level and to find out the relation between EI and job satisfaction, job performance and organizational commitment. Method: A cross-sectional study was conducted among 300 medical staff at Faculty of Medicine in Ain Shams University; a standardized self-administered questionnaire was used; gathered information on the respondents’ demographic and job characteristics, standardized measures of emotional intelligence, job satisfaction, job performance, and organizational commitment respectively. Results: 89.3% of participants had a moderate level of EI and 82.0% had a moderate level of satisfaction. Age, gender, marital status, working department, and academic rank significantly affect EI level. There was a significant correlation between EI level and total job satisfaction score, job performance, normative and affective commitment. Conclusion: faculty members who had higher EI were more productive and satisfied by their work and more committed to their organization. Recommendation: holding regular educational workshops for the staff to promote their EI is mandatory. EI test should be used in the workplace for giving promotions, staff reviews, and recruitment.
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Introduction

Emotional intelligence (EI) concept has become an important topic in the psychological literature and attracts much of the public attention in recent years. Mayer et al., 2016 defined EI as the ability to recognize and regulate emotions by individuals in oneself and in others. In general, EI refers to a collection of skills such as self-control, determination, self-motivation and sensitivity to the feelings of others. This new dimension of intelligence has received much attention during the last two decades; professional success is more affected by EI than the Intelligence Quotient (IQ), the traditionally used measure of intelligence. In the teaching profession, the most forward-thinking educators realize the
critical role of EI in higher education, not just for students but also for the vitality of the university as a whole. Faculty members with high EI are optimistic, adaptable, and enthusiastic. They have better communication skills, better ability to conflict resolutions as well as better impulse and self-control. In addition, highly EI staff can facilitate a positive atmosphere during the lecture and influence students with positive behaviors. It has been concluded that EI is very important in ensuring teachers' effectiveness and job satisfaction. Furthermore, researchers clarified that EI is a key factor in increasing organizational commitment. It has been reported that an organization's success does not depend only on how it develops employees' competencies but also on how it develops commitment toward the organization. Organizational commitment has been recognized as one of the most important indicators and factors for success in higher education institutions and it is also one of the vital factors for organizational survival and effectiveness.

In Egypt, seeking to improve the education quality and university accreditation, the role of today's faculty members becomes extremely challenging. They become overwhelmed with multiple tasks rather than teaching such as conducting researches for promotion, attending seminars, project presentations and engaging in students and community services. They also have to cope with students' discipline and behavioral problems and pressures from uncooperative administrations. Such an environment can create psychological and physical distress among them and can also alter their job satisfaction, performance, and commitment toward their career. Thus, all faculty members need to improve their EI skills as they enable them to manage their emotions, to motivate themselves and overcome frustrations. This will help them to be more satisfied and keep an appropriate performance in their career.

It should be noted that although the concept of EI has been observed a rapidly growing interest among modern society, few studies have been conducted on EI in the educational sectors, particularly among faculty members. Jeya and Balakrishan (2012) found that the overall level of EI among Malaysian lecturers was average and that the level of EI improved with age and teaching experience. In Egypt, Badawy and Mariam (2015) examined the impact of EI on job satisfaction among the academicians in four private universities and found no significant association between both of them; however, they found a positive association between EI and age. To our knowledge, there is a scarcity of Egyptian researches regards EI among faculty members in public universities. It would be beneficial to estimate the level of EI among staff working there and to identify various factors that might affect the EI level. Meanwhile, numerous researches about the relationship between EI and job satisfaction, job performance and organizational commitment revealed mixed findings. Some researchers have enumerated a strong association between EI measures and job satisfaction. Other researches reveal a significant positive association between EI and job performance. While other studies concluded that there was no relationship between EI and job satisfaction. Also, Samaneh et al. (2011) found that EI did not have any direct or indirect effects on organizational commitment among employees in an Iranian organization. Due to the conflicting results about the relationship between EI and job satisfaction, job performance and organizational commitment and due to
paucity of the Egyptian researches in this area; particularly in the educational sectors, the current study was conducted.

Based on the articulated objectives of the study, the following research questions were addressed in the study: What is the level of EI among medical faculty members? What are the different factors that might alter the EI level among the study group? Is there a correlation between EI and job satisfaction among the study group? Is there a correlation between EI and organizational commitment among the study group? Is there a correlation between EI and job performance among the study group?

**Objective**
To estimate the level of EI among staff at the faculty of medicine at Ain Shams University, to determine socio-demographic and work-related variables that might affect EI level and lastly to find out the relation between EI and job satisfaction, job performance and organizational commitment among the studied group.

**Method**

*Study design:* A cross-sectional study was adopted to fulfill the purpose of the study.  
*Study setting and time:* The present study was carried out at the Faculty of Medicine at Ain Shams University in 9 months duration from June 2018 to Feb 2019. Faculty of medicine at Ain Shams University was founded in 1947. It is considered one of the leading Egyptian public universities that contribute to the social and economic development of the nation. It was chosen because it enrolls a huge number of students and employs a large number of staff. In the academic year 2017/2018, the university had 5,500 staff including academic and clinical ones served more than 6000 undergraduate students over the 6 years of undergraduate teaching.  
*Study subjects:* Faculty members as instructors, assistant lecturers, lecturers, assistant professors, and professors who were working during the academic year 2018-2019 and involved in teaching undergraduate students, were included in the study. They were recruited from various academic and clinical departments in the faculty.  
*Exclusion Criteria:* staff with less than one year of teaching experience were excluded from the study.  
*Sample size:* Using Open Epi version 3.01 program based on the proportion of faculty members who had high emotional intelligence which was 26 % (Abbasi et al.,2018), this yield a sample size of (281). The sample size was increased to include (323) participants to account for non-response among staff members, taking into consideration that sample size was calculated with a confidence level of 95% and a margin of error ±5%. Our sample was (300) participants consisted of (158) academic and (142) clinical members.  
*Study sample:* three hundred twenty-three (323) participants were selected through a convenience sampling technique. Nearly 93% (300) of them responded. The reasons for non-participating were lack of time and refusal.  
*Study Variables:* Independent variables of the current study are socio-demographic characteristics, work-related variables, and emotional intelligence, while the dependent variables are Job satisfaction, job performance, and organizational commitment.  
*Operational definitions for study variables:*  
**Emotional intelligence (EI)** refers to ‘someone’s ability to perceive, understand and manage their feelings and emotions. There are five categories of EI18  
1-Self-awareness: It is the capacity to conceive and understand personal moods and emotions, and their influence on
postponing judgment and to think before acting.

2- **Self-regulation**: the management ability of one’s disruptive or negative emotions, and to adapt to changes in circumstance.

3- **Internal motivation**: a passion to self-motivate, with a focus on performing internal or self-gratification as opposed to external reward or praise.

4- **Social awareness**: the ability to manage the emotions of others through emotional conception.

5- **Social skills**: the ability to manage relationships and build networks, and to find common ground and build rapport.

**Job satisfaction**: According to Weiss (2002), job satisfaction refers to how well a job provides fulfillment of a need or want, or how well it serves as a source or means of enjoyment. Hendee, 2002 in his model of job satisfaction stated that job satisfaction is affected by two factors: organizational factors and personal factors, he added EI as a personal factor which along with other personal factors such as gender, education, demographic characteristics, etc., affects one’s job satisfaction.

**Job performance**: It can be defined as the elements that the organization assigns an employee to do; it is also defined as achievement of organizational goals, the fulfillment of organizational expectations and accomplishment of the organizational ability. EI develops innovational creativity in individuals, so it enhances people’s job performance.

**Organizational commitment**: Meyer et al. (2006) identified employee commitment as the emotional attachment between the employees and their organizations which can be characterized by three components: (1) Affective commitment: refers to individuals’ positive feelings and attachment towards the organization. (2) Continuance commitment relates to how much employees have the feelings to stay at their organization and (3) Normative commitment; relates to individuals’ feelings of obligation and loyalty with the organization.

A structured standardized self-administrated Questionnaire was used to collect the data. The questionnaire consisted of five sections. Section A, gathered information on the respondents’ demographic and job characteristics such as age, gender, marital status, working position and years of experience, sections B, C, D, and E consisted of standardized measures of emotional intelligence, job satisfaction, job performance, and organizational commitment respectively. An emotional intelligence test was adopted from The English version of Siberia Schering's EI Standard Questionnaire. It consists of 15 items and examines five aspects of EI: (1) Self-awareness (Questions 1, 8, 11) (2) Self-Regulation (Questions 2, 4, 7) (3) Self-motivation (Questions 6, 10, 12); (4) Social awareness (Questions 3, 13, 15) and (5) Social skills (Questions 5, 9, 14). The responses on the scale were rated on 5 point scale ranging from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree. The total scores on the scale range from 15 to 75, with higher scores reflecting higher levels of EI. In addition; 15-34 was a low score, 35-55 indicated a moderate score and 56-75 indicated a high score of EI. The instrument previous reliability was reported by Schutte et al. Cronbach’s alpha of EIS ranged from 0.87 to 0.90. The instrument was validated by Akpochafo, the instrument content validity was 79.59% and the construct validity range between 0.45 and 0.96. The English version of the Job Satisfaction Survey (JSS) developed by Spector, 1997 and it is used to measure job satisfaction level. It has been used in many studies as a standardized measure for job satisfaction in various organizations. It contains 36 items and examines five aspects of job satisfaction: (1) Affective satisfaction (Questions 1, 8, 11) (2) Continuance satisfaction (Questions 2, 4, 7) (3) Normative satisfaction (Questions 6, 10, 12); (4) Social satisfaction (Questions 3, 13, 15) and (5) Social skills satisfaction (Questions 5, 9, 14). The responses on the scale were rated on 5 point scale ranging from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree. The total scores on the scale range from 15 to 75, with higher scores reflecting higher levels of job satisfaction. In addition; 15-34 was a low score, 35-55 indicated a moderate score and 56-75 indicated a high score of job satisfaction. The instrument previous reliability was reported by Schutte et al. Cronbach’s alpha of JSS ranged from 0.87 to 0.90. The instrument was validated by Akpochafo, the instrument content validity was 79.59% and the construct validity range between 0.45 and 0.96. The English version of the Job Satisfaction Survey (JSS) developed by Spector, 1997 and it is used to measure job satisfaction level. It has been used in many studies as a standardized measure for job satisfaction in various organizations.
items, 9 facet scales to assess employee attitudes about the job and aspects of the job. Each facet is assessed with 4 items, and a total score is computed from all items. The 9 facets are Pay, Promotion, Supervision, Fringe Benefits, Contingent Rewards (performance-based rewards), Operating Procedures (required rules and procedures), Coworkers, Nature of Work and Communication. The responses are rated on a 6 point scale ranging from 1 = disagree very much to 6 = agree very much. The possible total scores on the scale range from 36 to 216 with the 36–108 range meaning dissatisfaction(low satisfaction); 144–216 range meaning high satisfaction; and between 108–144 depicting moderate satisfaction. The instrument's previous reliability and validity were reported by Fesharak, the Cronbach’s alpha of (JSS) ranged from 0.75 to 0.90, the instrument content validity was 76.50% and the construct validity range between 0.51 and 0.92.

Job performance was measured using English version of Tseng and Huang’s (2011) six-item scale, which is based on Katz and Kahn’s conceptualization of the construct in terms of in-role and extra-role behavior in accordance with the Role Behavior Theory. In their model, in-role behavior is “behavior that falls under standard rules in the workplace of an organization,” and extra-role behavior represents “the self-evaluative and democratic behavior that is accepted within the organization.” The total score was calculated by using mean and standard deviation measures. High reliability and validity revealed from previous studies.

To measure organizational commitment; the English version of Allen and Mayer (1990) organizational commitment questionnaire (OCQ) was adopted. This is one of the instruments most used internationally in scientific research to assess organizational commitment. It contains three subscales; the Affective, continuance and normative subscale and each compromised six items, responses to these items were recorded on 5 point scale (1=strongly disagree and 5=strongly agree). In this questionnaire, items 2, 8, 10, 12, and 14 got the reversed score. Wilson et al previously reported the reliability of the instrument. The Cronbach’s alpha for Affective subscale =0.85, for continuous subscale=0.79 and for normative subscale=0.73.

A pilot study was carried out on 10% of the sample. The purpose was to ascertain the feasibility of the study and the clarity and applicability of the tools. It also helped to estimate the time needed for filling in the instruments. Based on the results of the pilot, no modifications were needed. Pilot data were excluded from the study results. To test the reliability of the studied scales, Cronbach Alpha was examined. A scale with C. Alpha Coefficient of 0.7 or above is acceptable as stated in past researches.

All the four scales used in this research were over 0.7, so these scales were fully acceptable.

After explaining the study objectives to participants in order to receive reliable data, each participant received a copy of the tools. The scales were filled in by the participants, while they were on duty and collected immediately after completion.

**Data Management and Analysis**

The collected data was revised, coded, tabulated, and introduced to personal computer and then analyzed using SPSS program (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for Windows Version 22. Data were presented using descriptive statistics in the form of frequencies, percentages, mean and standard deviation. To determine the factors that might be associated with EI score, quantitative variables (personal and job characteristics), independent t-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).
were used. Person correlation coefficient was used to test the correlation between study variables. The correlation test was used to determine whether a relationship exists between EI with its subscales and job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and job performance. We considered a correlation low when \( r \) was between 0.10 to 0.29, moderate when \( r \) was between 0.30 and 0.49, and high when \( r \) was between 0.50 and 1.0.\(^{26}\) Statistical significance was considered at \( P \leq 0.05.\)

**Ethical consideration**

The required ethical and administrative approvals were obtained. An informed consent was obtained from each participant. To assure the confidentiality of data, all the study questionnaires were anonymous.

**Results**

The current sample included 300 medical staff who was working at the faculty of medicine Ain Shams University. Their ages ranged between 25 to 63 with a mean of 34 ± 9 years, 57.3% of them were female and more than half (69.0%) were married. Participants’ Educational level almost distributed equally between bachelor, master, and doctoral degrees. 52.7% were recruited from different academic departments while 47.3% were from clinical departments, the working duration ranged between 1 to 43 with a mean of 8.8 ± 8.5 years (Table 1).

**Figure (1): level of EI and Job satisfaction among studied group**

Emotional intelligence score ranged from 31-70 with a mean of 49.41±5.32: the highest domain was Self-awareness with a mean of 10.82±1.92 and the lowest was Self-motivation with a mean of 9.26±1. Job satisfaction total score ranged between 89-174 with a mean of 129.21±13.32 with the highest score for Operating condition domain with a mean of 15.84±3.25 and the lowest for pay domain with a mean of 13.03±2.75. Job performance score ranged between 6-36 with a mean of 25.59±6.73. Regarding organizational commitment; Continuance commitment was the highest domain with a mean score of 18.57±3.28 and the lowest was for Affective commitment with a mean score of 17.68±3.07 (Table 2). It was obvious from figure (1) that the majority of the respondents had a moderate level of emotional intelligence (89.3%) and a moderate level of job satisfaction (82%). There was a statistical significant relationship between EI among studied sample and gender, age, marital status, working department and academic rank as illustrated in (table 1): Females, younger individuals (less than 30 years) and those who were working in academic departments got a higher score of intelligence. Divorced respondents had lower scores compared to single and married ones. Regarding academic rank; demonstrators and lecturers had higher EI scores compared to professors, associate professors and assistant lecturers.

Regarding the relation between EI total score and job satisfaction, job performance and organizational commitment; the current study revealed that there was weak but significant positive correlation between EI total score and job satisfaction, job performance, and normative component and affective component of organizational commitment as illustrated in (table 3).
Among the different EI subscales; social skills, social awareness, and self-awareness were significantly correlated with job performance, while only social awareness was found to be significantly correlated with job satisfaction. As regards organizational commitment; social skills and self-motivation were positively correlated with normative commitment while social awareness was the only subscale that correlated significantly to continuous commitment, and self-motivation was the only one to be correlated to affective commitment as shown in (table 3).

Multiple regression analysis was done to explain and explore variables that might affect job satisfaction and job performance. For an explanation of variation in job satisfaction, different socio-demographic variables were tested including age, sex, marital status, and work duration, also EI, job performance and commitment were tested. By excluding variables that might not affect job satisfaction (depending on $R^2$). Social awareness, self-awareness, and self-motivation (domains of EI), job performance and continuance commitment explain 12% of the variation in job satisfaction ($R^2 = 0.121$, $F=8.08$, $P<0.05$).

By testing different socio-demographic variables including age, sex, marital status, and work duration, also EI and commitment to explain the variation in job performance. Age, sex, marital status, social awareness, social skills, self-awareness, self-motivation, self-regulation (domains of EI) and continuance commitment explain 15% of the variation in job performance ($R^2 = 0.147$, $F=4.99$, $P<0.05$). (Table 4)

**Discussion**

The analysis of this study revealed several interesting points; first, concerning the level of EI among the studied group; the majority of respondents (89.3%) had a
moderate level of EI. This result is incongruent with

Table (2): Level of EI, Job Satisfaction, Job Performance and Organizational Commitment among the Studied Group by Mean and SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted Character</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emotional intelligence total score</td>
<td>49.41±5.32</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional intelligence subscales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Self -awareness</td>
<td>10.82±1.92</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Self- regulation</td>
<td>9.73±1.65</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Self -motivation</td>
<td>9.26±1.88</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Social awareness</td>
<td>9.93±1.93</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Social skills</td>
<td>9.68±1.66</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job satisfaction total score</td>
<td>129.21±13.32</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>174.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job satisfaction domains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• pay domain</td>
<td>13.03±2.75</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• promotion domain</td>
<td>13.49±3.07</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• supervision domain</td>
<td>13.96±2.53</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fringe benefit domain</td>
<td>14.05±2.62</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Operating condition domain</td>
<td>15.84±3.25</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Coworkers domain</td>
<td>15.68±2.68</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Nature of work domain</td>
<td>15.00±3.16</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• communication domain</td>
<td>14.00±3.27</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Contingent reword domain</td>
<td>14.16±3.00</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job performance score</td>
<td>25.59±6.73</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Commitment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Affective domain</td>
<td>17.68±3.07</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Normative domain</td>
<td>17.75±3.18</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Continuance domain</td>
<td>18.57±3.28</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the findings of Nasir et al., 2011, also agreed with Abbasi et al., 2018 who found that most of the staff in Ardabil University of medical science, with (86%) had the EI in moderate level, and contradict the findings of El-Sayed et al, 2014 who found the majority of nursing faculty members in Zaqaziq university experienced low level of EI, the authors attributed that finding to the deficient curriculum that didn’t adopt EI skills throughout the academic years of study as well as absence of any training courses on EI for faculty members. Meanwhile, a recent study was conducted in 2013 by Hans et al. in a private educational institution in Muscut and reported a high level of EI among teachers. The above variations in the EI level could be attributed to the difference in the setting, population under study and the tool used for measuring EI.

Among the five subscales of EI; the current study revealed that Self-awareness had the highest mean (10.82±1.92) followed by social awareness (9.93±1.93) and the lowest was Self-motivation with mean of (9.26±1.88). Similar results were reported by Bakr and Safaan, 2012 and Mohammed, 2011.

As regard socio-demographic and work-related variables that might affect EI level: first, It was surprising to find that younger staff members (less than 30 years) had a higher level of EI compared to older ones. A similar result was obtained by Riaz et al, 2014. This is because it might be that older individuals are stubborn and inflexible in their thoughts and actions and can’t change their thinking patterns.
Table (3): Correlation Coefficients between EI Total Score & Its Subscales with Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment and Job Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Emotional Intelligence</th>
<th>Self-Awareness</th>
<th>Self-Regulation</th>
<th>Self-Motivation</th>
<th>Social Awareness</th>
<th>Social Skills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Job satisfaction</strong></td>
<td>0.12*</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.16**</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normative commitment</strong></td>
<td>0.16**</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.17**</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Continuance commitment</strong></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.19**</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affective commitment</strong></td>
<td>0.14*</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.16**</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Job performance</strong></td>
<td>0.14*</td>
<td>0.20**</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.18**</td>
<td>0.15**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level, **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level

finding unexpectedly opposes the previous literature as most of the researches demonstrated that as people grow up their EI gets higher.\(^{40}\) Levenson, 2011\(^{45}\) also concluded that EI can sharpen as people enter in their 60s, giving older individuals benefit in their jobs and personal life. On the other hand, an Egyptian study conducted by El Badawy et al., 2014\(^{46}\) among faculty members revealed no significant association between EI and age, the authors argued that EI is an individual ability which anyone can strengthen and not related to a specific age.

EI score was significantly different between females and males, with females reporting higher EI level. This might be attributed to the fact that females tended to be more expressive than males. They are believed to understand and recognize others' emotions better and own greater empathy as being more perceptive. Similar results were reported by Ahmed, 2015.\(^{47}\) On contrary, Shahzad and Bagum, 2012\(^{48}\) witnessed males as higher on EI level while Shehzad and Mahmood, 2013\(^{49}\) declared no difference of emotional intelligence from a gender perspective.

The present work revealed a significant association between marital status and EI Level; divorced respondents had lower scores compared to single and married ones. A logical explanation would be that individuals with higher EI were blessed with good communication skills and better recognition and control of their emotions, thus enhanced the relationship with their partners and decreased marital conflicts. Ciarrochi et al. (2001) clarified that EI enabled individuals to succeed in their personal relationships as well as their work.\(^{50}\) The above result contradicts the findings of Mohamed and Nagy, 2017\(^{51}\) who found no significant relations between both variables among academic staff.

As regards academic rank among participants; it was found that demonstrators and lecturers had higher EI scores compared to professors, associate professors and assistant lecturers. Ahmed, 2015\(^{47}\) reported the same results, while El-Sayed et al, 2014\(^{10}\) revealed that Lecturers and Assistant professors had more EI and sense of self-efficacy than demonstrators and Assistant lecturers. No significant association was detected between EI and work duration in the current work, similar findings were reported by Mohammed, 2011.\(^{43}\) On contrary, El-Sayed et al, 2014\(^{10}\) found a significant relation between EI and teaching experience.

Concerning the level of job satisfaction; It is noteworthy that the result of the present study revealed that the majority of faculty members in the studied group (82%) were moderately satisfied with their job. This
The result is similar to Platsidou and Diamantopoulou (2009) who concluded that Greek academics were moderately satisfied with their job, and; contradict the findings of Bozeman and Gaughan (2011) who reported that majority of faculty members had a high level of job satisfaction.

The result of Pearson coefficient correlation revealed a weak positive significant correlation between EI and job satisfaction. This means that faculty members with higher levels of EI are more satisfied with their job organization. The reason behind that could be that respondents with higher levels of EI use mechanisms that helped them to adapt to the poor conditions in the faculty environment such as inadequate resources, work overload and teaching tasks without adequate reward. Those with a low level of EI, on the other hand, lacked this ability to adapt properly to this critical situation and become less satisfied. Also, high emotional intelligence respondents were able to acknowledge, manage, and use their emotions to eliminate ensuing barriers and improve their career horizons than those with low emotional intelligence.

The above findings are incongruent with that reported by Long et al. (2016). In addition, Masroor (2009) conducted a study to analyze the relationship between EI and job satisfaction among administrative staff in higher education institutions in Malaysia and found a positive relationship between the two variables. On contrary, El Badawy et al. (2014) found that EI was not related to Job satisfaction, while Gill et al. (2012) revealed a negative correlation between EI and job satisfaction of Faculty’s member. A possible cause for such a contradiction in the findings of the above studies may be due to the different statistical tools used for evaluation of EI and job satisfaction.

Among the five subscales of EI; only social awareness was found to be significantly correlated with job satisfaction as evident from the result of Pearson correlation coefficient which found a weak but significant positive correlation between both variables (r=0.16 with a P-value 0.01). This finding indicated that the ability of faculty members to understand and visualize the emotional constituents of other people has a relationship with their job satisfaction. It could be explained that faculty members with high social awareness are better equipped in evaluating and setting the emotions of their students. This certainly helped to foster positive and nurturing student-teacher relationships resulting in a greater degree of job satisfaction. This finding agrees with work of Mousavi et al., 2012 who revealed that social awareness and empathy were predictors of job satisfaction and contradict the finding of Afzaal and Taha, 2013 who pointed that among the five components of EI; only self-management and self-awareness had positive significant correlation with job satisfaction.

Interestingly multiple regression results indicate that social awareness, self-awareness, self-motivation (domains of EI), job performance, and continuance commitment explain 12% of job satisfaction. Although other studies like Long et al. (2016) found more interesting results, authors found 29% of the variation in job satisfaction was significantly explained by EI in teachers. This difference in variation may be due to differences in tools used for measurement of EI and job satisfaction as well as variation in the population under study.

Regarding the relation between EI and job performance; the results of the current work showed that there was a positive significant correlation between EI and job
performance. This means that Individuals with a higher level of EI are more likely to perform better in their work, this finding confirms the work of Amgad (2018) who found a significant association between EI and educational performance of university teachers in Quetta, Baluchistan. It could be justified that individuals blessed with high EI are more adaptive to various situations and obstacles faced in their workplace. Besides, they learned consistently while encouraging and inspiring others, thus performing better in their career.

Among the subscales of EI, social awareness, social skills and self-awareness were significantly correlated with job performance. In addition, multiple regression results revealed social awareness, social skills, self-awareness, self-motivation, self-regulation (domains of EI) and continuance commitment explain 15% of the variation in job performance \( (R^2 = .147, F=4.99, P<0.05) \). This finding suggested that faculty members who can better manage their own emotions and emotions of others and those with high social skills presumably succeed in communicating their ideas, goals, and purposes to their students in interesting and productive ways. This result confirmed the findings of Rahmat et al. (2014) who reported a significant relationship between the faculty members' self-awareness, social awareness and ability to organize the relationships with their educational performance. On the
contrary, Codier et al (2008) found no significant relation between EI and job productivity among clinical staff nurses.

Regarding organizational commitment among the studied group; The results of the current study revealed that continuance component had the highest mean score (18.57±3.28) followed by normative component, while affective component had the lowest mean score (17.68±3.07). The highest score for continuous component could be attributed to the fact that in Egypt, there are fewer job opportunities and faculty of medicine as a higher education institution is the major employer in such an area. Thus, faculty members especially academic staff committed to the organization due to the fear that they will not find a job or a job that pays the same in that area. Joolideh and Yeshodhara (2009) studied the organizational commitment of the teachers in India and Iran and unconcealed that Iranian teachers had a better organizational commitment in the continuance and normative components whereas Indian teachers were found to have a better organizational commitment in the affective component.

Regards the relation between EI and organizational commitment; Pearson coefficient correlation test revealed that normative component and affective component of organizational commitment had a positive significant correlation with EI. This means that staff with higher EI is more willing to exert substantial effort on behalf of the organization and feel happier as they work with the organization. A logical explanation would be that staff that had a higher level of EI may have a better relationship with their colleges and supervisors. Thus, it became more committed to their work as they would view their relationship with their organization as an extension of the relationship they had with coworkers and managers. Ssesanga and Garrett conducted a study in 2005 among university professionals in Uganda and suggested that academics with high EI would perceive high satisfaction and tend to feel more emotionally attached to their organization. On the contrary, other studies have reported that EI had an insignificant influence on organizational commitment (Shamsuddin & Ujang, 2008).

Among the subscales of EI; social skills and self-motivation were significantly correlated with normative commitment. This meant that staff members who are highly motivated and those with good interpersonal relationships are more loyal and committed to their organization. The above result can be in line with the findings of Zeidner et al. 2004. Regarding continuous commitment; social awareness was the only subscale that related significantly to it, while self-motivation was the only one to be significantly correlated to affective commitment. According to Abraham (2016), the social relationship within the organizations increases employee commitment and their desire to maintain and keep their job.

Conclusion

The result of the current study revealed that the majority of medical staff had a moderate level of EI as well as job satisfaction, among the components of organizational commitment; Continuance commitment was the highest. The study also revealed that there is a positive correlation between EI and job satisfaction, job performance and organizational commitment of the respondents which also depicted that faculty members who had a higher level of EI were more productive and feeling more satisfied and loyal to their jobs than others.

Recommendations and Practical implications of the study:
Based on the above findings and discussion, the following suggestions should be considered: The present results revealed that faculty members who had higher EI were more productive and satisfied with their work. Therefore, counseling and personnel psychologists should be used by various universities to enhance the EI of their staff by arranging proper awareness workshops and lectures for staff members to increase their social skills. It will significantly enhance their educational performance, satisfaction, and commitment to their career. The study also concluded that EI was positively related to organizational commitment. Thus, it’s highly suggested that to retain talented and knowledgeable university teachers, universities need to select faculty members who have high EI, because this may have a positive impact on the extent to which they can succeed in retaining their valuable and talented workforce. Finally, the findings in this study provided support for age and gender differences among participants concerning their EI, with younger participants (less than 30 years old) and females achieve higher EI level. It is implied that younger individuals and female staff might have higher abilities to recognize and regulate self and other's emotions and would communicate more effectively with their students. Thus, its highly advised that faculty administration provide more chances for younger staff and female members to participate in the teaching process and communication with their students thus will help the faculty to achieve its goals and productivity.

Also, the research confirmed the importance of EI to enhance job satisfaction, performance and organizational commitment among faculty members. Following recommendations are given based on findings; There may be organized EI awareness programs in the faculty to improve the EI among faculty members, specifically for those who scored low levels. It is recommended that, in addition to academic qualifications, the personality and emotional characteristics can be considered in the selection procedure of faculty members, as well. Emotional intelligence tests should be utilized in the workplace for giving promotions, staff reviews, recruitment, achievements, etc. Some future recommendations involve longitudinal studies to be conducted to establish the causal association between various factors and EI. Also, surveying private universities and comparing the results with the public ones. Also, further studies are required to evaluate the effect of implementing educational intervention programs to develop and enhance faculty members’ EI abilities.

**Limitation of the study**

The present study had several limitations that should be addressed. First; though this study used validated and usable measures, the questionnaire used was a self-assessment questionnaire. The disadvantage of self-assessment tests is that participants can change their answers to represent the desired image of them. Second, Being a cross-sectional study, causal associations could not be established between factors and EI. Third, a convenience sample was adopted to select participants, so the results cannot be generalized to the target population. Lastly, this study is conducted only on staff at the faculty of medicine; results might not be the same to other universities and professions.
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