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Abstract

Background: Unintentional home injuries are major cause of morbidity, mortality and disability in children under five years of age. Most of these injuries are preventable through increased awareness, improvements in the home environment safety and caregiver supervision. Objectives: To measure the frequency of unintentional home injuries in children less than five years, to determine its different types, to identify mothers’ practices concerning first aid measures in case of occurrence of home injuries & to compare home environmental safety among home injured and non-injured children. Method: A comparative cross sectional study was conducted among mothers attending pediatric outpatient clinic, Ain Shams university hospital; during the period from February to November 2017; a sample size of 200 mothers were interviewed using structured questionnaire used to collect data about socio-demographic characteristics, frequency, types, place of home injuries, and mother's practice at the time of injury occurrence and the outcomes. Home environmental safety questionnaire adopted from American College of Preventive Medicine, 2014 and Child Injury Assessment Tool were used. Results: 61% of the participating mothers reported that their children experienced one or more home injuries during the previous year. There was a trend of increasing the proportion of mothers knowledge about first aid measures as the level of education increased. The study identified 5 out of 16 environmental risk factors which were significantly related to different types of home injuries. Conclusion & recommendation: Unintentional injuries in children under five years could be easily prevented through changing unsafe home environment and changing individual behaviors and norms.
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Introduction

Unintentional injuries are the most common cause of preventable morbidity and mortality among children, and a major public health problem.¹ Annually, 830,000 children died due to home injuries worldwide, corresponding 2,000 child deaths per day. Again, millions of children referred to hospital due to injuries caused by accidents, resulting in lifelong disabilities.² In Egypt; it has become a concern, several studies were carried out in Egypt to estimate the magnitude of home injuries as a study carried out in Sharkia Governorate at 2014 and showed that the prevalence of home injuries among preschool children in a rural area was (84.7%).³ Children are exposed to injury risk as they grow, learn and explore new things surrounding them. The likelihood of a child being injured is associated with a variety of factors including low education among mothers, very young mothers, poor housing, large family size and parental drug or alcohol abuse.⁴ The common mechanisms of home injury are falls, cut
wounds, fire and burns, choking, suffocation, drowning, poisoning and firearms. Falls account for the majority of non-fatal home injuries while the highest numbers of deaths are due to fire. The largest number of accidents happens in the living room. However, the most serious accidents happen in the kitchen and on the stairs. Most home injuries happen where there's water in the bathroom, kitchen, or hot tubs. Heat or flames: in the kitchen or at a barbecue grill. Toxic substances: under the kitchen sink, in the medicine cabinet, or even in a purse or other place where medications are stored. Potential for a fall: fall from bed, sofa or crib on stairs, slippery floors, from high windows, or from tipping furniture. Accordingly, as child lacks of capability to protect himself/herself from accidents, it is responsibility of adults to provide safe environment, to take protective measure and to audit safety of living spaces of children. Parents’ knowledge and practice about first aid measures is especially important in prevention of deaths due to home injuries and improving patient outcome in general. First aid is the provision of initial care for an illness or injury, usually by a non-expert but trained person, until medical treatment can be accessed. Provision of immediate first aid to patients who require emergency care can make a big difference to the outcome.

The aim of the Study is to measure the frequency of unintentional home injuries in Children under Five Years, to determine the different types of home injuries among children under five years at pediatric outpatient clinic in Ain Shams university hospital, to identify mothers’ practices concerning first aid measures in case of occurrence of home injuries & to compare home environmental safety among home injured and non-injured children.

Study type: A comparative cross sectional study was conducted at pediatric hospital outpatient clinic, Ain Shams University, Egypt, during the period from February to November 2017.

Study population: Mothers attending pediatric outpatient clinic, Ain Shams university hospital. Inclusion criteria: mothers who have a pre-school child (1-5 years old) and agree to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria: Mothers who have children suffering from chronic debilitating disease e.g. nutritional diseases, cancer and blood diseases and who have children with special needs, including children with physical and/or mental disabilities.

Sampling technique: A systematic random sample of mothers attending pediatric outpatient clinic who had one or more child under five years were recruited; the researcher visited the outpatient clinic on two alternating days each week to obtain the required information. Sample size: In estimating the proportion of under 5 injuries, a proposed 50% will yield the maximum sample size of 182 which produces a two-sided 95% confidence interval with a width equal to 0.15. In the comparative part of the study, group sample sizes of 94 in group one and 94 in group two achieve 80% power to detect a difference between the group proportions of 0.20 giving rise to odds ratio of 2.5. The exposure to a risk factor is proposed to 50% and 70% in the two groups respectively. The test statistic used is the two-sided Z test with pooled variance. The significance level of the test was targeted at 0.05. Accordingly, a sample size of 200 individuals was chosen for this study.

Study tools: 1- Structured interview questionnaire was used to collect data from participating mothers attending pediatric outpatient clinic. The questionnaire includes the following sections: Section I: Sociodemographic characteristics of the studied mothers e.g. (age, education, occupation, Marital status, family income, child age, child sex, child rank). Section II: Frequency,
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types, cause, place of home injuries, mother's practice at the time of injury occurrence and the outcomes (‘cured’, ‘under treatment with no long-term consequences’, "long-term consequences" disabled" and death).

**Section III:** Assessment of home environmental safety regarding areas where most home injuries occur (kitchen, bathroom, living and bedroom). The home environmental safety questionnaire was adopted from American College of Preventive Medicine, 2014: Child Injury Assessment Tool (9). 2- Health Education Booklet designed by the researcher was used to deliver a concise targeted health education message to the interviewed mothers in case of mentioning incorrect action done for her injured child. Pilot study: A pilot study was conducted on (10%) of the calculated sample size and was then excluded from the analysis as questionnaire was modified to be more comprehensive and to allow data collection within a reasonable time (not exceeding 15 minutes).

**Data Management and Analysis:** The collected data was revised, coded, tabulated and introduced to personal computer then analyzed using SPSS program (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for windows Version 22. Qualitative data were presented as frequencies and percentages, while quantitative variables were presented as mean, standard deviation (SD). Chi square test and fissure exact test were used as tests of significance. P≤0.05 was considered significant.

**Ethical Consideration:** Ethical committee board of Faculty of Medicine and Ain Shams hospital and administrative approval from pediatric hospital manager were obtained. Verbal Informed consent was taken from each participant. The confidentiality of data was assured.

**Results**
Table (1): characteristics of the studied mothers (n=200)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of the studied mothers</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less than 25</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 or more</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's Education status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate / Read &amp; write</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary / preparatory education</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary / Diploma</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University or above</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not working (house wife)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>82.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status of mother</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced / Widowed</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owned</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rented</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1000</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000-2000</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 2000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four or more</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children under 5 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three or more</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type of consultation or did incorrect action concerning first aid measures (30.5%); said they felt consultation was not required for such minor injuries and they believed that traditional management methods would be adequate to manage their child injury. The majority of the mothers reported full recovery of their children; while (5.7%) were under treatment with no long term consequence and (4.9%) were disabled (Table 3).

The current study revealed there is a trend of increasing the proportion of mothers with good knowledge as the level of education increased. Relatives and friends remained a major source of information in those with good knowledge about first aid measures. As the level of education increased the source of knowledge became more versatile, e.g., 63.6% of Illiterate had their knowledge from the relatives/friends and 50% of primary/preparatory educated had their main source of knowledge from TV while the source of knowledge of higher educated mothers came from more than one source (Table 4).

Concerning the Relationship between different types of unintentional home injuries occurred in children under 5...
Table (2): characteristics of home injured child (n=122)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of home injured child</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>child age in months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-48</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49-60</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>62.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child rank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth or more</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child alone at the time of injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>93.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

years and home environmental safety measures; the present study found that there was a statistically significant relationship between foreign body aspiration in the studied children and keeping small round toys at home, where (10.6%) of mothers who keep small toys at home within reachable areas; their children had foreign body aspiration. There was a statistically significant relationship between occurrence of electric burns in the studied children and leaving electric outlets uncovered where (3.4%) of mothers who left electric outlets uncovered and kept electric appliances within reach of children in their home; their children had electric burn injuries. In addition to that; there was a statistically significant relationship between occurrence of burns and holding children by mothers while cooking; where (9.7%) of mothers who hold their children while cooking; their children had burns. Moreover; there was a statistically significant relationship between occurrence of child poisoning and keeping cleaning products and medications within the reach of children; where (20.9% and 12.5%) of mothers who keep household chemical cleaning products and medications respectively within reach; their children had poisoning (Table 5).

Discussion

Children under 5 years old are less aware of danger and they are more vulnerable group to have an unintentional home injury. Most home injuries are presumed to be preventable through removal of particular hazards. Child safety remains an important concern of parents nowadays (LeBlanc et al., 2006). The present study showed that more than one quarter (26.5%) of the participating mothers were illiterate or read and write and about (38.5%) had diploma or secondary education while higher education represented by (16.5%). This finding is in agreement with Mohammed et al., 2013 who conducted a study in two university hospitals “Cairo University Specialized Pediatric Hospital and Benha University Hospital” and found that less than (20.0%) were illiterate, more than (40.0%) of the mothers had secondary education while higher education represented (20.0%). The agreement with Mohammed et al., 2013 was due to studying mothers from pediatric hospital at Cairo and Benha University which are related to similar socioeconomic level. The present study revealed that the prevalence of unintentional home injury among the studied under five years children was (61.0%) over the previous 1-year period. This result nearly agrees with a previous study conducted by Ibrahim, 2004 who carried out a study in El-Fateh district, Assiut Governorate and revealed that the incidence rates of home injuries were (60.0%) and with Abd El Aty et al, 2005 in Assiut governorate, where the prevalence of home injuries among children under six years was (50.3%). On the other hand, the current figure was lower in study conducted by Eldosoky, 2012 in Qalubeya governorate, who described the prevalence of home related injuries among children as (38.3%). In addition to another study conducted by Kamal, 2013 in El-Minia governorate, which showed that only (20.6 %) of the
Table (3): Characteristics of home related injuries (n=122)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of injury</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut wound</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burn</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scald</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric burn</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisoning</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choking, Foreign body Aspiration</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drowning</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stepping on a sharp object</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas suffocation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strangulation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hit by object</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Place Of injury</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathroom</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living room</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>67.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s bedroom</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult’s bedroom</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Injury repeated in last year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mothers’ Action towards injury occurrence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>70.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Admission to hospital due to injury</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome of the injury</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete recovery</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>86.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under treatment with no long term consequence</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological trauma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broken teeth</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Insertion of foreign body into ear and nose, animal bite.

children under 5 of age included in the study had a home injury over the previous 1-year period. This difference may be attributed to the difference in the age of the studied children (for example Eldosoky, 201214 measured measure the incidence of home injuries affecting rural children aged up to 12 years), different methodology, different study setting (urban versus rural) and different definition of home related injury. The present study revealed that nearly half (47.9 %) of the children who had a home injury were from 2-4 years old as children move freely at home in this age. This result was further agreed with a study conducted in Cairo, by Mohammed et al., 201311 who found that the mean age of 3.32 ± 1.181 years was the age highest prevalence of home injuries. This is also in accordance with El-sabely et al., 201416 who revealed that more than half of injured children (59.3%) were aged ≤ 3 years, this may be due to the fact that the younger the child, the higher the frequency of household injuries. Regarding sex differences, the study showed that nearly two thirds (62.3%) of home injured children were boys. This result agreed with Zaidi et al., 201317 who carried out a study in India and found that boys experienced more home
related injuries than girls (65% versus 35%) respectively. El-sabely et al., 2014\textsuperscript{16} also found that more than half of the injured children were boys (58.7%) than girls (41.3%). This result also agreed with Eldosoky, 2012\textsuperscript{13} who found that the incidence rate of home accidents constituted (57.5%) for boys and (42.5%) for girls. Also similar to the study in Turkey done by Oztürk C et al., 2010\textsuperscript{18} found the prevalence was (53.4% and 46.6% respectively). Previous studies proved that boys have increased risk-taking behavior than girls; in addition to the influence of their peer which would increase their risk to experience more home injuries. Regarding the type of home related injury, the current study revealed that falls represented the highest percentage of injury (33.6%) followed by cut wounds (13.9%) then scald (9.8%) and burn (8.2%). This conforms with many previous studies as Alptekin, 2008\textsuperscript{20} in Turkey, Zaidi et al., 2013\textsuperscript{17} in India, Mohammed et al., 2013\textsuperscript{11} in Cairo and Shriyan, 2014\textsuperscript{19} in India who found that falls are the most predominant type of home injuries among under five year children (38.4%, 32.4%, 72% & 54.5%) respectively. This might be attributed to unsafe home environment for children and lack of mother supervision when doing daily activities at home. On the contrary to our results, other studies revealed that that cut wound represented the highest percentage of home injuries among the under five year children as Ibrahim, 2004\textsuperscript{12} in Assiut governorate, Abd El-Aty et al., 2005\textsuperscript{13}, Eldosoky, 2012\textsuperscript{14} in Qalubeya governorate and Megahed et al., 2016\textsuperscript{21} in Menoufia governorate (35.5%, 37.4%, 31% & 68.9%) respectively. Regarding the place of the occurrence of injuries at home, the current study demonstrated that two third of injury (67.2%) occurred in living room while The kitchen represented the second most common place for injuries (13.1%); as Egyptian families used to spent most of their times in the living room watching television and leaving their children to move freely without strict supervision. This is in agreement with a study conducted in Turkey done by Alptekin, 2008\textsuperscript{20} who revealed that (30%) of the injuries occurred in the living room followed by the kitchen (26.7%); while the bathroom or the toilet had the third rank. The majority of the participating mothers (93.4%) reported that they were present with their children at time of injury occurrence and only (6.6%) reported that the child was alone. This finding was supported by Shriyan,
Table (5): Relationship between different types of unintentional home injuries among children and home environmental safety measures (n=200)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Home environmental safety measures</th>
<th>Foreign Body Aspiration</th>
<th>Fisher exact test (#)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother keeps small round toys in home</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric burn</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold child while cooking</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leave child in the kitchen alone while cooking</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage cleaning supplies in a safe-closed place</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep medications in safe closed place</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Statistically significant at P < 0.05. (**) Highly statistically significant at P< 0.01. (#) Fisher Exact test was used as the expected count in (20.0%) of the cells or more are less than 5.

In 2014 in India who reported that injuries do occur despite of the presence of adults as they didn’t have the knowledge on how to prevent injuries among their children while carrying their daily activities in the same time. The current study found that mothers’ education level was the only significant characteristic that affects mothers’ knowledge regarding first aid measures for child home injuries. More than three fourth of the highly educated mothers (75.8%) were aware about first aid measures. This results agreed with Megahed et al., 2016 who reported that, mothers’ knowledge score was higher with increased level of education, as (64.3%) of mothers who had satisfactory knowledge had high education, whereas (2.9%) of mothers who had satisfactory knowledge were illiterate. Furthermore; El-Sabely et al., 2014 in Sharkia governorate, Egypt, mentioned that illiterate mothers had poor knowledge on first aid of home accidents among children. The same finding was obtained from the study conducted in Turkey by Oztürk C et al., 2010 who reported that there was a meaningful relationship between mothers’ educational status and
knowledge and practice regarding child home injuries. In this study, we aimed to determine home environmental safety level and its relation with type of injury occurred. In the case of the association between risk factors relative to infrastructure home environment and domestic injuries, it was revealed that five out of sixteen variables (31.25%) were significantly related to different types of home injuries (P<0.05); presence of medications and vitamins within reach of children; presence of cleaning supplies within reach of children; uncovered electrical outlets which accessible by the child; holding child while cooking and giving children round, hard and small food. Identifying environmental risk factors related to unsafe home environment and its related type of injury would raise an alarm to mitigate these factors as being modifiable through proper health education messages to the participating mothers to prevent further occurrence of such injuries in the future. De Assis Brito et al., 2016 in Brazil represented other risk factors for the occurrence of home injuries: presence of stairs or steps without handrail; presence of thorns, nails, broken glass and other items in the area where the child plays; presence of plants and garden or yard. The difference in environmental risk factors may be attributed to different cultures between countries. This reality shows that injury prevention in childhood goes beyond the emotional care of children, and must encompass economic determinants, which provide a safer environment (Pereira and Garcia 2009).

Conclusions and recommendations

The study concluded that unintentional injuries in children under five years could be easily prevented through changing unsafe home environment and changing individual behaviors and norms. Strengthening mothers’ knowledge about first aid measures targeting unintentional home injuries immediately after their occurrence would improve injury outcome and would prevent the occurrence of disabilities or leaving residual effects in the children that would affect their quality of life later on.
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