Socio-Demographic Factors Associated with Exclusive Breastfeeding from Birth to Six Months in An Urban Public Health Center, Egypt

¹Alaa A. Ali, ²Gada E. Amin, ³Nehal M. El-Raggal and ²Hebat Allah MS. Gabal

¹Egyptian Ministry of health, ²Department of Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine, ³Pediatric Department, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Abstract

Background: Breastfeeding is the best first source of infant nutrition. Breastfeeding provides health benefits to both the baby and the mother. Babies ought to be exclusively breastfed for the first 6 months. Numerous factors are associated with the exclusivity of breastfeeding and one of the important ones is the socio-demographic factors. Objectives: To estimate the proportion of infants who are breastfed exclusively during the first 6 months. To identify maternal socio-demographic factors and barriers affecting the practice/duration of exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) among them. Method: Cross-sectional study was conducted among 334 mothers at 1st Settlement health care center; a standardized interview questionnaire was used to gather information about socio-demographic characteristics, health service utilization, the outcome of pregnancy, Family support in childcare and maternal knowledge, attitude and practice concerning EBF. Results: 51.1% of studied mothers practice EBF. The age, education, and occupation didn't affect the practice of EBF. Also, no difference was found regarding the utilization of antenatal care, the outcome of pregnancy and family support in childcare. The number of living children, using stimulant drinks, knowledge scores, attitude towards breastfeeding concerning exclusive breastfeeding in 1st 6 months and its stoppage during the illness of mother or child were the key indicators for exclusive breastfeeding, practice differs between two groups in the form of time of beginning breastfeeding, lactation frequency and frequency of breastfeeding/day. Conclusion: Providing adequate knowledge to raise awareness of EBF and increase the involvement of health care providers in enhancing knowledge through antenatal care will be the best approaches to increase EBF practice.

Keywords: Breastfeeding, Socio-Demographic Factors, Knowledge, Attitude, Practice.

Corresponding author: Hebat Allah Mohammed Salah; babosha2008@yahoo.com

Introduction

Breastfeeding is a natural safety way against the worst effects of poverty. "Exclusive breastfeeding (EBF)" is realized as an infant's consumption of ONLY breast milk with no supplementation of any other liquids or solids (not even water), except for oral rehydration solution, or drops/syrup of vitamins, minerals or medications.¹

Infants who are exclusively breastfed for six months achieve optimal growth and development. Also, they experience less morbidity and mortality. It is estimated that

10% to 15% of under-5 deaths in resourcepoor countries could be prevented through the achievement of universal coverage with EBF alone.² Long-term health benefits from EBF include reduction of the risk of overweight and obesity and higher intelligence quotient (IQ).³ Also, it is a natural method birth spacing.⁴ for Therefore, EBF is considered as a cost effective infant-feeding method.⁵

Thus. one of the strategies of the Sustainable Development Goals is to increase the EBF rate to 50%.1 The Babyfriendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) seeks to provide mothers and babies with a good start for breastfeeding. The maternity facility's annual statistics should indicate that at least 75% of the mothers who delivered in the past year are either exclusively breastfeeding or exclusively feeding their babies human milk from birth to discharge or, if not, this is because of acceptable medical reasons. This is an approximate indicator of EBF support in the facility.6

Breastfeeding is nearly universal in Egypt, the Egyptian Demographic and Health Survey showed that 47 % of infants received pre-lacteal feeds; only a minority of babies are exclusively breastfed throughout the first 6 months of life. Egypt made a great effort concerning EBF and application of BFHI, different obstacles face Egypt including early initiation of breastfeeding and EBF rates are still low, due to lack of community awareness about EBF importance. As well, inadequate training programs of health care professionals on infant nutrition and EBF.⁸ Cultural and religious believes affect the prevalence of breastfeeding.9 Evaluation of mother's knowledge, attitude towards EBF is a key to identify their practices. A study done in Nigeria found that although universal breastfeeding was present in the community but the knowledge and practice of EBF were low.² Another study in Ethiopia found that the prevalence of EBF was 60.8%. Unemployed mothers, received counseling during antenatal care and postnatal care about breastfeeding and infant feeding, didn't give pre-lacteal feeding, and had adequate knowledge about breastfeeding were more likely to practice EBF.10

In Egypt, A study done in El-Minia Governorate found that rural women had satisfactory knowledge about the advantages of breastfeeding for child and

mother. EBF was found to be associated with mother's education but not with mother's age at birth, mother's occupation. or place of birth. However, some attitudes and practices of the mothers were suboptimal.¹¹

Promotion of EBF would be the best approach to prevent malnourishment among children as breast milk is a safe, cheap and affordable source of nutrition. Thus, this study was conducted aiming: To estimate the proportion of infants who are breastfed exclusively during the first 6 months and to identify maternal sociodemographic factors and barriers affecting the practice/duration of EBF.

Method

Study design: A cross-sectional study was adopted to fulfill the purpose of the study. Study setting and time: The present study was carried out at First Settlement, New Cairo, Cairo, Egypt, in 11 months duration from March 2018 to January 2019.

Study Population: The study population consisted of all mothers (with infants up to 24 months' age at the time of data collection). Data were collected from mothers attending vaccination sessions or child clinical care visits. Inclusion criteria include: Mothers who either had Completed 6 months on EBF of their infants, Ongoing exclusive breastfeeding but still under 6 months of age, Non-exclusive breastfeeding before completing 6 months, completely stopped breastfeeding before 6 months. Exclusion criteria include Mothers who had never breastfeed their infants.

Sampling method: Systematic random sampling involved randomly selecting two days per week, and this random selection repeated every week for four months period with the exclusion of weekends. Also, mothers were selected by systematic random sampling technique from clinic attendants.

Table (1): Relation between Socio-Demographic Factors and Special Habits of Studied Mothers and Exclusivity of Breast Feeding: (N=334)

| Socio-demographic characteristics N (%) 334 (100%) | | | Non-exclusive BF No (%) 163(48.9) | Exclusive BF No (%) 171(51.1) | P-value | | |
|--|--|------------------|---|-------------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| Age in years | Mean ± SD | 28.07 ± 5.22 | 28.52 ± 4.98 | 27.64 ± 5.41 | 0.127* | | |
| | Below university | 173 (52) | 84 (51.5) | 89 (52.4) | | | |
| Education of mothers | University and post- graduate education | 160 (48) | 79 (48.5) | 81 (47.6) | 0.88** | | |
| Mother's | Housewife | 257 (76.9) | 123 (75.5) | 134 (78.2) | O = 4 dods | | |
| Occupational | Governmental job | 35 (46.2) | 19 (47.5) | 16 (44.7) | 0.54** | | |
| status | Private job | 42 (53.9) | 21 (52.5) | 21 (55.3) | | | |
| No. of living | <4 children | 271 (81.1) | 123 (75.5) | 147 (86.5) | 0.01** | | |
| children | ≥4 children | 63 (18.9) | 40 (24.5) | 23 (13.5) | | | |
| Special habits: | | | | | | | |
| Sleeping | ≤6 hours | 215 (64.2) | 68 (41.7) | 68 (39.4) | 0.60** | | |
| hours/day* | > 6 hours | 119 (35.7) | 54 (33.1) | 65 (38.2) | 0.00 | | |
| Smoking (Yes) | | 4 (1.2) | 0 (0.0) | 4 (2.4) | 0.04** | | |
| Using Stimulant drinks (Yes) | | 231 (69.2) | 104 (63.8) | 127 (73.5) | 0.05** | | |

^{*} p value for student -t test ** p value for chi square test

Table (2): Relation between Health Service Utilization, The Outcome of Pregnancy and Exclusivity of Breast Feeding among Studied Mothers: (N=334)

| | Perinatal period No (%) 334 (100%) | | Non-exclusive BF No (%) 163 (48.9) | Exclusive BF No (%) 171 (51.1) | P-value* |
|--------------------------------------|--|------------|--|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Receive antenatal care (Yes) | | 236 (70.6) | 116 (71.2) | 120 (70.0) | 0.81 |
| Mode of delivery | Normal labor | 111 (33.3) | 50 (30.7) | 61 (35.9) | 0.21 |
| | Cesarean section | 223 (66.7) | 113 (69.3) | 110 (64.1) | 0.31 |
| Current | Male | 151 (45.3) | 82 (50.3) | 69 (40.6) | 0.07 |
| child gender | Female | 183 (54.7) | 81 (49.7) | 102 (59.4) | 0.07 |
| Gestational age | Preterm | 81 (24.3) | 40 (24.5) | 41 (24.1) | 0.20 |
| | Full term | 218 (65.2) | 101 (62.0) | 117 (68.2) | |
| | Post term | 35 (10.5) | 22 (13.5) | 13 (7.6) | |
| Newborn nursery | | 50 (15.0) | 24 (14.7) | 26 (15.3) | 0.88 |
| Regular visits for well-baby clinics | | 138 (41.4) | 74 (45.4) | 64 (37.6) | 0.15 |

^{*} p value for chi square test

Inclusion criteria: include all mothers (with infants up to 24 months' age at the time of data collection)

Sample Size: Using Open Epi version 3.01program based on the proportion of mothers who practice exclusive breastfeeding which was 32% (Samesh et al.,2014) (11), this yield a sample size of (335). The sample size was increased to include(368) participants to account for non-response and refusal among mothers, taking into consideration that sample size was calculated with a confidence level of 95% and a margin of error $\pm 5\%$. Our sample was (334) participants consisted of (171) practice EBF and (163) not practicing EBF. Study sample: three hundred sixty-eight (368) participants were selected through a

Table (3): Relation between Family Support in Child Care among Studied Mothers and Exclusivity of Breast Feeding: :(N=334)

| Family support No (%) 334(100%) | | Non-exclusive BF No (%) 163(48.9) | Exclusive BF No (%) 171(51.1) | P-value** |
|---------------------------------------|------------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Received help in housekeeping* | 137 (41.1) | 63 (38.7) | 74 (43.5) | 0.36 |
| Partner help in housework | 149 (44.7) | 68 (41.7) | 81 (47.6) | 0.27 |
| Partner help in childcare | 262 (78.4) | 124 (76.1) | 138 (80.6) | 0.31 |
| Partner encourage breastfeeding | 281 (84.1) | 142 (87.1) | 139 (81.2) | 0.13 |

*(mother, sister, relative, servant) ** p value for chi square test random sampling technique. Nearly 91% (334) of them responded. the reasons for non-participating were lack of time and refusal.

Tool of the study: well-structured standardized Arabic face to face interview questionnaire was used to collect the data. The questionnaires consisted of four sections. Section A; gathered information on the respondents' Socio-demographic characteristics and special habits: include age, work type, education, smoking, Also, medical history: history of chronic diseases including diabetes, Hypertension. Section B; Health service utilization, the outcome of pregnancy: antenatal care, gestational age, mode of delivery, child gender, entry to newborn nursery and regular visits for wellbaby clinics. Section c; Family support in childcare: received help in housekeeping and their childcare, partners help in housework and helped their wives in childcare. partners encouraged their wives for breastfeeding. sections D; consisted of standardized measures of knowledge, attitude, and practice of EBF (Samesh et al.,2014) (Oche, et al, 2011).^{2,11}

Pilot Study: A pilot study was carried out on 10% of the sample to test the reliability of the questions and the time needed to interview mother. Then, proper corrections and adjustments had been fulfilled. Pilot data were excluded from the study results.

Data Management and Analysis

The collected data was revised, coded, tabulated, and introduced to personal computer and then analyzed using SPSS program (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for Windows Version 22. Data were presented using descriptive statistics in the form of frequencies, percentages, mean and standard deviation. To determine the factors that might be associated with EBF, for quantitative variables t-test was used and for qualitative variables chi-square test was used. Statistical significance was considered at P-value ≤ 0.05. Knowledge score was calculated for the participants by giving 1 for the right answer and 0 for the wrong one .The studied mothers were asked about their knowledge regarding exclusive breastfeeding. Questions were benefits of EBF which include cost as it is a cheap method for feeding, Increase relation between mother and infant. Increase child intelligence, Help infant teething, Increase infant immunity, Natural contraceptive method. Knowledge about the meaning of exclusive breastfeeding and the source of this knowledge.

Ethical Consideration

The required ethical and administrative approvals were obtained. Informed consent was obtained from each participant. To assure confidentiality, the questionnaire **Participants** anonymous. informed about the aim of the study.

Table (4): Relation between Breastfeeding Attitude among Studied Mothers and Exclusivity of

Breast Feeding: (N=334)

| Attitude No (%) 334 (100) | | | Non-exclusive BF No (%) 163 (48.9) | Exclusive BF No (%) 171 (51.1) | P-value* |
|--|----------------|------------|---|---|----------|
| Deposted bysatfooding | Yes | 273 (81.7) | 132 (81.0) | 141 (82.4) | |
| Repeated breastfeeding increase quantity of milk | No | 39 (11.7) | 22 (13.5) | 17 (10.0) | 0.48 |
| mereuse quantity of min | Don't know | 22 (6.6) | 9 (5.5) | 13 (7.6) | |
| EBF is enough for infant in | Totally agree | 87 (26.1) | 21 (12.9) | 66 (38.8) | |
| 1st 6 months of his life | Agree | 121 (36.3) | 43 (26.4) | 79 (46.1) | 0.01 |
| | Doesn't agree | 126 (37.7) | 99 (60.7) | 26 (15.3) | |
| | Child illness | 6 (1.8) | 0 (0.0) | 6 (3.5) | |
| EBF should stop during illness of mother or child | Mother illness | 24 (7.2) | 17 (10.4) | 7 (4.1) | 0.01 |
| | Doesn't stop | 304 (91) | 146 (89.6) | 158 (92.4) | |
| Three months leave childcare is enough for breastfeeding | Yes | 7 (22.2) | 5 (21.7) | 5 (19.2) | 0.61 |

^{*} p value for chi square test

Results

The current study included 334 mothers attending vaccination sessions or child clinical care visits. 51.1% of studied mothers practice exclusive breastfeeding (Results are not tabulated).

Age of studied mothers ranged from (17-44) with mean of 28 ± 5 . Most mothers and their husbands had a university education (42%) for each of them. Most of mothers were housewife (76.9%). Most of the participants lived in 1st settlement (84.1%) and had less than four children (81%%). The majority of mothers who participated in the study were non-smokers (98.8%), slept below or equal 6 hours per day (64%) and didn't suffer from any chronic disease (92%).

The age of the studied mothers, education, and occupation didn't differ between mothers' practice exclusive breastfeeding and mothers' practice mixed feeding. But the number of living children whose studied mothers had and the use of stimulant drinks affect directly the practice of EBF, as mothers who had less than 4 children, not using stimulant drinks(p value=0.05) practice EBF more. Also, there was a difference between them in smoking habits (P value<0.05) but smoking didn't interfere with exclusive BF Table (1).

Most of the newborns were born full-term (65.2%), female infants (54.7%) and had born by cesarean section (66.7%). Most of the mothers received antenatal care (70.6%) and didn't utilize routine follow up for their infant (58.6%). The majority of the newborns weren't need newborn nursery (85%) with no statistical significant difference between the two groups as regard utilization of antenatal care, gestational age, mode of delivery, child gender, entry to newborn nursery and regular visits for wellbaby clinics(p-value >0.05)Table (2).

Most of the mothers didn't have any help in housekeeping (58.9%) and their partners didn't help in housework (55.3%) but helped their wives in childcare (78.4%). The majority of partners encouraged their wives for breastfeeding (84%). There was no statistical difference between the two groups as regard family support in childcare. Table (3).

Regarding knowledge of EBF among study participants, the mean knowledge score among them was 7.69±.90 and their main source of knowledge were doctors (55.9%)

Table (5): Relation between Breastfeeding Practice among Studied Mothers and Exclusivity of Breast Feeding: :(N=334)

| Practice No (%) 334 (100%) | | | Non-exclusive BF No (%) 163 (48.9) | Exclusive BF No (%) 171 (51.1) | P-value* |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|---|---|----------|
| | Immediately | 216 (64.6) | 114 (69.9) | 102 (59.4) | |
| Start to breastfed | 2-24 hours | 72 (21.6) | 26 (16.0) | 46 (27.1) | 0.04 |
| | after 24 hours | 46 (13.8) | 23 (14.1) | 23 (13.5) | |
| | Every 2 hours | 58 (17.4) | 31 (19.0) | 27 (15.9) | |
| Lactation frequency | Before sleeping | 5 (1.5) | 5 (3.1) | 0(0.0) | 0.04 |
| | Upon request | 271 (81.1) | 127 (77.9) | 144 (84.1) | |
| | < half an hour | 161 (48.3) | 79 (48.5) | 82 (48.2) | |
| T 4' 6 161 | Half an hour | 33 (9.9) | 11 (6.7) | 22 (12.9) | 0.13 |
| Duration of each fed | > half an hour | 18 (5.4) | 12 (7.4) | 6 (3.5) | |
| | Doesn't know | 121 (36.3) | 61 (37.4) | 60 (35.3) | |
| Frequency of | < 8 | 40 (12) | 21 (12.9) | 19 (11.2) | 0.03 |
| breastfeeding/day | 8 or more | 64 (19.2) | 40 (24.5) | 24 (14.1) | 0.03 |
| bi eastreeding/day | Doesn't count | 230 (68.8) | 102 (62.6) | 128 (74.8) | |

^{*} p value for chi square test

and their family (26.1%) followed by reading (9.6%), media (8%) and friends (0.5%). There was a statistically significant relationship between mother's knowledge and practice of EBF as mothers who practice exclusive breastfeeding had higher knowledge scores (7.82±0.87 compared to 7.56 ± 0.93) (t-test =2.63, p value<0.01) (Results are not tabulated).

Most of the participated mothers thought that repeated breastfeeding increases the quantity of milk (81.7%). 37.7% of them did not agree that EBF is enough for infant 1st 6 months of his life. The majority of participated mothers thought that exclusive breastfeeding shouldn't stop during the illness of mother or child (91%) and three months leave childcare wasn't enough for breastfeeding (77.8%). There was a statistically significant difference between two groups regarding attitude towards breastfeeding concerning exclusivity in 1st 6 months as most of the studied mothers agreed that breast milk is enough for infants in the 1st 6 months of his life and didn't stop during the illness of mother or child (pvalue <0.05). There was no statistically significant difference between the two

groups as regards their attitude towards repeated breastfeeding with the quantity of milk nor leave childcare. Table (4).

The majority of studied mothers started breastfeeding immediately after (64.6%), breastfed their infants on demand (81.1%). 48.3% of studied mothers took less than half an hour every time they breastfed their infant without counting the frequency of breastfeeding/day(68.8%). There was a statistical significant difference between the two groups regarding breastfeeding practice including the time of beginning breastfeeding, lactation frequency and frequency of breastfeeding/day. As most of the females who practice EBF started it 2-24 hours after delivery, practice lactation on demand so they did not count the number of fed per day. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups as regard the duration of each breastfed Table (5). Among non-exclusive breastfeeding mothers (48.9%), the most important reasons for non-exclusivity include working mothers (34.6%) and scanty of breast milk (30.2%). 52.5% of them gave their infant food which was other than formula milk and yogurt. (Results are not tabulated).

Discussion

The analysis of this study revealed several interesting points. The first factor was different socio-demographic characters of the participants including the age of the participated mothers, the current study found that the age of the study participants didsn't differ between mothers practice EBF and mothers practice mixed feeding as illustrated in table(1). This finding was in agreement with Samesh et al., 2014 who found that exclusive breastfeeding was not associated with mother's age at birth, and contradict with the finding of Zielinska et al.,2017, and Mitiku et al.,2015, who found that mothers whose age group ranges from 25 to 35 years were nine-fold more likely to practice EBF than their counterpart mothers who were younger than 25 years (p < 0.05) this contradiction may be attributed to the difference in study settings. 11,12,13 Also, education and occupation of parents;

most of the studied mothers had university

education (42%), housewife (76.9%), lived in1st settlement (84.1%). The current study found that there was no difference as regard parent's education and occupation between 2 groups as shown in table (1). This finding was in agreement with Oche et al., 2011 ,who found that no significant relation between maternal education and exclusive breastfeeding, and contradict the finding of Mitiku et al., 2015, who found that mothers who are housewives were better at practicing EBF than employed mothers or farmers (p < 0.05). Also contradict the finding of Samesh et al., 2014, who found a significant relationship between maternal education and exclusive breastfeeding.¹¹ The second factor was the health service utilization and the outcome of pregnancy among studied mothers; the Majority of newborns were born full term, female infants, had born by cesarean section, and most of the studied mothers had two children. Most of the mothers received

antenatal care and didn't utilize routine follow up for their infant. Most of newborns weren't need a newborn nursery. current study did not find statistically significant difference between the two groups as regard the health service utilization and the outcome of pregnancy as shown in table (2). This finding was not in agreement with Al Ghwass and Ahmed . 2011, who found that antenatal care (four or more visits), early breastfeeding initiation after delivery, male infant, and absence of breastfeeding difficulties were the significant predictors associated with higher chance for EBF at Egypt.¹⁴ Also, contradict with Kok leong Tan. 2011 and Alzaheb. 2017 who found that an association existed between low birth weight as a need for nursery and a low prevalence of EBF in the first 6 months of life. 15,16

There is a statistically significant difference between the two groups as regards the number of living children as mother have less than 4 children practice more EBF shown in table (1). These findings contradicted with Kok leong Tan, 2011, who found that exclusive breastfeeding was more common among mothers with increased parity. 15

Another factor was the role of family support in childcare; In the current study, the majority of partners helped their wives in childcare and encouraged their wives for breastfeeding but didn't help in housework. Most mothers didn't have any help in housekeeping. The current study showed that there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups as regard family support in childcare as illustrated in table (3). This finding contradicted with Kok leong Tan, 2011 and with Dashti, 2014, who found that a husband's preference for breastfeeding over formula feeding was positively associated with breastfeeding initiation and longer duration of full-breastfeeding which is consistent with Western studies. The above variations

could be attributed to the orientation of Egyptian husbands towards EBF as a good source of nutrition. 15,17

The fourth factor was Participants' knowledge regarding breastfeeding among studied sample; in the current study, there was a statistically significant relation between studied mother's knowledge and practicing EBF as mothers who practice EBF had higher scores. knowledge score was calculated by asking questions about benefits of EBF, the majority of studied reported right mothers answers breastfeeding was cheap (99,7), increase relation between mother and infant(99.1), increase child intelligence(99.1), help infant teething (98.5), Increase infant immunity (99.4)but not sufficient contraceptive method (55.8%). Also, identify correctly the meaning of EBF by 56.6% and their source of knowledge was doctors (55.9%) and family (26.1%). This finding was incongruent with Oche et al., 2011, Samesh et al., 2014, Zielinska et al., 2017 and Dhakal et al., 2017, who found that adequate knowledge is associated with the practice of EBF. Main Sources of information on EBF were mothers of respondents and health workers.^{2,11,13,18}

Fifth factor was breastfeeding attitude among studied sample; shows that there was a statistically significant difference between two groups regarding attitude breastfeeding concerning towards exclusivity in 1st 6 months as most of the studied mothers agreed that breast milk is enough for infant in 1st 6 months of his life and didn't stop during illness of mother or child. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups as regards their attitude towards repeated breastfeeding in relation to the quantity of milk nor leave childcare. This finding was in agreement with Dashti, 2014, who found that more than eight in 10 women gave this explanation (as doubt the adequacy of their milk supply) one of their reasons for discontinuing breastfeeding.¹⁷

Finally, the breastfeeding practice among the studied sample; concerning proportion of infants who are breastfed exclusively for 6 months, 51.1% of studied mothers practice exclusive breastfeeding. Dhakal et al., 2017, found 49.2% of the mothers practice EBF Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) which is nearly the same as the finding in the current study.¹⁸ Also, El-Gilany, 2003, found that in Egypt 42.5% of mothers reported exclusive breastfeeding to infants less than 4 months of age. but a lower rate was found by Samesh et al, 2014, who found that 32.2% of children received EBF. Also, Jones et al., 2011, found a lower rate as only 16.8% had been breastfed exclusively for 6 months. This variation in EBF rates may be due to the difference in study setting and population under study. 11,19,20

majority The of mothers started breastfeeding immediately after labor, breastfed their infants on demand.48.3% of mothers took less than half an hour every time they breastfed their infant without counting the frequency of breastfeeding/day. Among non-exclusive breastfeeding mothers (48.9%), the most important reasons for non-exclusivity included working mothers and scanty of breast milk. This finding agreed with El-Gilany, 2003, who found that in Egypt Non-working mothers are more likely to breastfeed exclusively and more likely to continue breastfeeding for 1 year. 19 52.5% of them gave their infant food which was other than formula milk and yoghurt. The current study finding contradicted with Samesh et al,2014 who found that Yogurt and juice were considered suitable as the main diet for weaned infants but agreed with him in showed that most of the mothers initiated breastfeeding immediately after delivery. 11,19

Conclusion and Recommendations

EBF is a healthy and cost-effective method of feeding. 51.1% of studied mothers practice EBF. The number of living children, using stimulant drinks, knowledge scores, attitude towards breastfeeding concerning exclusivity in 1st 6 months and stoppage of breastfeeding during the illness of mother or child were the key indicators for exclusive breastfeeding. There is a need for health care system interventions and health education campaigns targeting the whole community with special attention to future mothers.

Limitation of the study

The present study had several limitations that should be addressed. First; Being a cross-sectional study, causal associations could not be established. second, this study is conducted only at one urban health care center; results might not be the same at other health care centers. The nature of the participants and their social class may affect the results.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the administration of the primary health care center for approval for conducting the research and thank the participants who agreed to be interviewed allowing us to get valuable information.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

References:

1. World (WHO): Health Organization Exclusive breastfeeding for six months best for babies everywhere, 2017. from.http://www. who.int/mediacentre/news/statements/2011/bre astfeeding 20110115en.

- 2. Oche M, Umar A, Ahmed H, Knowledge and practice of exclusive breastfeeding in Kware, Nigeria, Afr health sci;2011.
- 3. WHO/UNICEF: Improving Exclusive Breastfeeding Practices; P6-8, UNICEF Webbased Orientation Series;2011-2012.
- 4. Kramer M, Kakuma R. Optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews;2012.
- 5. Ajetunmobi, O.M.; Whyte, B.; Chalmers, J.; Tappin, D.M.; Wolfson, L.; Fleming, M.; Stockton, D.L.Breastfeeding is associated with reduced childhood hospitalization: Evidence from a Scottish Birth Cohort (1997–2009). pediatric international journal, 2015.
- 6. Perrine, C. G., Scanlon, K. S., Li, R., Odom, E., & Grummer-Strawn, L. M. Baby friendly hospital practices and meeting exclusive breastfeeding Pediatrics, 2012; intention. 130(1), 54.
- 7. Egypt Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS): Ministry of Health and Population, Cairo, Egypt. El-Zanaty and Associates, Cairo, Egypt.2014. The DHS Program International. Rockville, Maryland, U.S.A. September 2014.
- 8. Geneva Infant Feeding Association, IBFAN ARAB WORLD and Sayed., G.: the committee on economic, social and cultural rights. report on the situation of infant and young child Egypt,2013. http://www.unicef.org/nutrition/index_24824.h tml
- 9. Li R, Zhao Z, Mokdad A, Barker L, Strawn Prevalence Grummer-L. breastfeeding in the United States: The 2001 National Immunization Survey. Pediatrics. 2003; 111:1198–201. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 10. Mekuria G. **Edris** M. Exclusive breastfeeding and associated factors among mothers in Debre Markos, Northwest Ethiopia, International Breastfeeding Journal, 2015.
- 11. Samesh E, Ghazawy, E, E, Hassan E, Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices of Breastfeeding and Weaning Among Mothers of Children up to 2 Years Old in a Rural Area in El-Minia Governorate, Egypt, journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care ;(2014).
- 12. Monika A.Zielinska, Jadwiga Hamulka; Reasons for non-exclusive breast-feeding in

- the first 6 months, pediatric international journal;2017.
- 13. Maeza Mitiku Asfaw, Mesele Damte Argaw, Zelalem Kebede Kefene; Factors exclusive associated with breastfeeding practices in Debre Berhan District, Central Ethiopia: a cross sectional community based study: International Breastfeeding Journal :2015.
- 14. Al Ghwass, M., and Ahmed, D: Prevalence predictors of 6-month exclusive breastfeeding in a rural area in Egypt. Breastfeed Med, 2011; 6(4):191-6.
- 15. Kok Leong Tan, Factors associated with exclusive breastfeeding among infants under six months of age in peninsular malaysia, International Breastfeeding Journa, 12011.
- 16. Riyadh A Alzaheb; Factors Influencing Exclusive Breastfeeding in Tabuk, Saudi Arabia; SAGE Journals, 2017.

- 17. Manal Dashti, Jane A. Scott, Christine A.Edwards , Mona Al-Sughyer ; Predictors of Breastfeeding Duration among Women in Kuwait: Results of a Prospective Cohort Study ;MPDI Nutrients ;2014.
- 18. Sarita Dhakal, Tae Ho Lee and Eun Woo Nam, Exclusive Breastfeeding Practice and Its Association among Mothers of under 5 Children in Kwango District, DR Congo .Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2017, 14, 455; doi:10.3390/ijerph14050455.
- 19. El-Gilany A.: Breastfeeding indicators in Dakahlia Governorate. East Mediterr Health J,2003; 9:961-973.
- 20. Jones J, Kogan M, Kogan G, Dee D, Grummer-Strawn L, Factors Associated with Exclusive Breastfeeding in the United States, American Academy of Pediatrics; volume 128, number 6,2011.